

# An integro-difference model in a patchy landscape




CMS - 2021 Summer Meeting

Mohammad El Smaily <sup>1</sup>



Ottawa, June 8, 2021

---

<sup>1</sup>  I acknowledge the support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), NSERC-Discovery Program.

# Outline

- 1 The model and the setting
  - Brief derivation and hypotheses
  - Prior works
- 2 The linearized equation at 0
  - Compactness, Existence of a principal eigenpair
- 3 Stationary states of the nonlinear problem
  - The case where  $F(0) = 0$  and  $\lambda_0 \leq 1$
  - The case where  $F(0) = 0$  and  $\lambda_0 \geq 1$
  - The case where  $F(0) > 0$  and  $\lambda_0$  is arbitrary
- 4 Proof ideas

# The model

We consider the integral equation

$$u_{n+1}(x) = \int_{\Omega} k(x, y)F(u_n(y)) dy, \quad (1)$$

- $u_n(x)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) stands for the density of the population in the  $n^{\text{th}}$  generation at a location  $x$ . The population density in the  $n^{\text{th}}$  generation, at a location  $x$ , is denoted by  $u_n(x)$ .
- The growth phase is described by the function  $F \geq 0$ .
- The dispersal phase is described by a dispersal kernel  $k(x, y)$ . The probability that an individual, who started its dispersal process at  $x$ , will settle in  $[y, y + dy)$  is then given by the product  $k(x, y)dy$ .
- Density in the next generation, at a location  $x$ , is obtained by summing up arrivals at  $x$  from all possible locations  $y$ . This yields the integral equation (1).

$$\text{Assumptions: } u_{n+1}(x) = \int_{\Omega} k(x, y) F(u_n(y)) dy$$

We consider the case  $\Omega = (-a, a)$ .

- 1 The function  $k \geq 0$  is a bounded nonnegative function on  $\Omega$ :

$$\text{For all } (x, y) \in \Omega, \quad 0 < \delta < k(x, y) \leq \Lambda. \quad (2)$$

- 2  $k$  is continuous with respect to each variable except at a finite set of points  $\{a_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n} \subset \Omega$ . This divides  $\Omega$  into intervals

$$\Omega_i = (a_i, a_{i+1}) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad \Omega_0 = (-a, a_1) \text{ and } \Omega_n = (a_n, a).$$

- 3  $F$  is continuous,  $F \geq 0$ ,  $F \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , and  $\|F\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq M$ .

- 4 The function  $F$  is strictly increasing on  $[0, \infty)$  and it vanishes elsewhere. We assume that  $F$  differentiable at 0 and we set

$$r_0 := F'(0) > 1. \quad (3)$$

- 5  $F$  satisfies

$$\frac{F(u)}{u} < \frac{F(v)}{v}, \quad \text{for all } u > v > 0. \quad (4)$$

# Prior works

- Lutscher et al. 2014 [4]: a discontinuity in the dispersal kernel  $k$  at the interface of these patches appears in the study done in [4]. In , it is shown that the dispersal kernel can be characterized as the Green's function of a second-order differential operator.
- Watmough and Beykzadeh [1] included different dispersal rates in each patch as well as different degrees of bias at the patch boundaries.
- Lewis et al. [3], which considers the same model (1), but with a different set of assumptions on the kernel  $k$ . In [3], the kernel  $k$  is assumed to be in the form  $k(x, y) = k(x - y)$ . The more important difference between our work and [3] is that the kernel  $k$  is assumed to be continuous in [3].
- The discontinuity points account for a different dispersal behaviour; hence, a change in the patch where the population is moving. The discontinuity of  $k$  adds more technicality to the proofs of the main results, especially those involving comparison arguments.

# Analogue of RDA equations

- The model  $u_{n+1}(x) = \int_{\Omega} k(x, y)F(u_n(y)) dy$  is a **discrete**-time analogue of the Reaction-Advection-Diffusion equations in population dynamics.
- RDA eqs are semilinear parabolic partial differential equations

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) = \Delta u + q(x) \cdot \nabla u + f(u), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (5)$$

for some incompressible vector field  $q : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ .

- When (5) is used to describe the evolution of a population density  $u(t, x)$ , it is assumed that the dispersal of the species follows the normal distribution with zero mean, which however is not the case for most species [Lewis (1997)].
- Traveling fronts, or pulsating traveling fronts, form a particular class of solutions to such equations.

# $\mathcal{T}$ linearized at $u = 0$

We denote the linearization of the nonlinear operator  $\mathcal{T}$ , at  $u = 0$ , by

$$\mathcal{T}_0(u)(x) := r_0 \int_{\Omega} k(x, y) u(y) dy, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

We denote by

$$X = \{u \in L^2(\Omega), u \text{ is continuous on } \Omega \text{ except at finitely many points}\}. \quad (6)$$

## Theorem (Krein-Rutman Theorem)

*Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $K \subset E$  be a closed convex cone such that  $K - K$  is dense in  $E$  and  $K^\circ \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} : E \rightarrow E$  be a non-zero compact linear operator which is strictly positive, meaning that  $\mathcal{A}(K \setminus \{0\}) \subset K^\circ$ . Then, there exists a principal eigenvalue  $\lambda_0 = r(\mathcal{A}) > 0$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and an eigenfunction  $u \in K^\circ$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}u = \lambda_0 u$ .*

# Existence of a principal eigenpair

We will apply Theorem 1 to the operator  $\mathcal{T}_0$ , where we let the Banach space  $E$  be  $L^2(\Omega)$  and take

$$K = L^2(\Omega)^+ \cap C_p(\Omega)^+,$$

where

$$L^2(\Omega)^+ := \{u \in L^2(\Omega), \text{ such that } u \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}$$

and  $C_p(\Omega)^+$  is the set of positive functions that are piecewise continuous on  $\Omega$ . It follows that

$$K^\circ = K^{++} = \{u \in K, \text{ such that } u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}.$$

## Proposition

*The operator  $\mathcal{T}_0$  maps  $L^2(\Omega)$  into itself. Moreover,  $\mathcal{T}_0$  is a strictly positive compact operator and there exists an eigenfunction  $\phi_0 \in K^\circ = K^{++}$  of  $\mathcal{T}_0$  that corresponds to the principal eigenvalue  $\lambda_0 := r(\mathcal{T}_0)$ .*



Extinction:  $F(0) = 0$  and  $\lambda_0 \leq 1$

### Theorem (E.-Abdul Halim)

Let  $\{u_n\}_n$  be a solution of (1), with the initial condition  $u_0 \in X$ . Suppose that  $F$  and  $k$  satisfy the assumptions 1, 3 and 4. Assume furthermore that  $F(0) = 0$ . If  $\lambda_0 \leq 1$ , then

- 1  $0$  is the only stationary solution of (1) in  $X$ .
- 2 The sequence  $\{u_n\}_n$  converges to  $0$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

The proof of this theorem is lengthy. The result is similar to what happens in the case of a continuous kernel of the form  $k(x, y) = k(x - y)$ , which was considered in Lewis et al. 2018.

Persistence:  $F(0) = 0$  and  $\lambda_0 \geq 1$

### Theorem (E.-Abdul Halim)

Suppose that  $F$  and  $k$  satisfy the assumptions 1, 3 and 4. Assume furthermore that  $F(0) = 0$ . Suppose that  $u_0 \in X$ ,  $u_0 \geq 0$ ,  $u_0 \neq 0$  and that  $\lambda_0 > 1$ . Then,

- 1 there exists a unique positive stationary solution  $w$  of (1).
- 2 The sequence  $\{u_n\}_n$  converges to  $w$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

In the next theorem, we will see that the stationary state will be positive, regardless of  $\lambda_0$ , whenever  $F(0) > 0$ .

## Theorem

*Suppose that  $F$  and  $k$  satisfy the assumptions 1, 3 and 4. Assume furthermore that  $F(0) > 0$ . If  $u_0 \in X$ ,  $u_0 \geq 0$  and  $u_0 \not\equiv 0$ , then*

- 1 there exists a unique positive stationary solution  $w$  of (1).*
- 2 The sequence  $\{u_n\}_n$  converges to  $w$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

# A comparison principle for IDE type models

$$X = \{u \in L^2(\Omega), u \text{ is continuous on } \Omega \text{ except at finitely many points}\}. \quad (7)$$

## Lemma

Let  $u, v \in X$  (defined in (7)), such that  $u \geq \mathcal{T}(u)$  and  $v \leq \mathcal{T}(v)$ . If  $u > 0$ , then  $u \geq v$ .

## Lemma

If  $u_1 \geq u_0$  (respectively  $u_1 \leq u_0$ ), then  $\{u_n := \mathcal{T}(u_{n-1})\}_n$  is increasing (respectively decreasing).

# Sketch of the Proof of Theorem 5 (case $F(0) > 0$ )

Here, we have the assumption that  $F(0) > 0$ . We fix  $N > 2a\Lambda M$  and note that

$$\mathcal{T}(N) = \int_{-a}^a k(x, y)F(N) dy \leq 2a\Lambda M < N.$$

Lemma 7 then yields that  $\{\mathcal{T}^n(N)\}$  is decreasing. Since  $\{\mathcal{T}^n(N)\}$  is bounded below by 0 uniformly, there exists  $w \in X$ , such that  $\{\mathcal{T}^n(N)\}_n$  converges pointwise to  $w$ . That is,  $w$  is stationary solution of  $\mathcal{T}$ . We also show that  $w$  is the unique stationary solution of (1). We have

$$\mathcal{T}(0)(x) = \int_{\Omega} k(x, y)F(0) dy \geq 2a\delta F(0) > 0 \text{ for all } x \in \Omega.$$





Since  $\{\mathcal{T}^n(0)\}_n$  is uniformly bounded above by  $N$ , one obtains  $w_0 \in X$ ,<sup>2</sup> such that  $\{\mathcal{T}^n(0)\}_n$  converges pointwise to  $w_0$ . This means that  $w_0$  is stationary solution of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

An extra work shows that  $w_0 = w$  and  $\{u_n\}_n$  converges to  $w$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>Proving that  $w_0 \in X$  turns out to be technical

# References

-  Ali Beykzadeh; James Watmough, *An explicit formula for a dispersal kernel in a patchy landscape*, preprint (2019), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/680256>.
-  Peter Lax, *Functional analysis*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, (2002). xx+580 pp.
-  Lewis, Mark A.; Marculis, Nathan G.; Shen, Zhongwei, *Integrodifference equations in the presence of climate change: persistence criterion, travelling waves and inside dynamics*, J. Math. Biol. **77** (2018), no. 6-7, pp. 1649–1687.
-  Jeffrey Musgrave and Frithjof Lutscher, *Integrodifference equations in patchy landscapes*, Journal of mathematical biology, 69(3) (2014), pp. 617–658.

😊 Happy 75<sup>th</sup>+1 Anniversary, CMS



Thank You — from Northern BC !